

EVALUATION RESULTS OF TENDER NO. CPP-03M-2016.

Tender No.	CPP-03M-2016						
Tender Description	PROCUREMENT OF MATERIAL FOR 500 KV QUAD BUNDLE TRANSMISSION LINE FOR EVACUATION OF POWER FROM 1320MW PORT QASIM POWER PLANT (Phase-II) UNDER NTDCL'S OWN RESOURCES (LOT-I TO VI)						
Tender Procedure	Single stage single envelope						
Tender Published	National Newspapers/PPRA's Website/NTDCL's Website						
Technical and Financial Proposal opened on	22.09.2016						
PPRA Ref No.(TSE)							
Number of Participants	Lot No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
	No. of Bidders Participated	06	08	02	04	07	04

1. LOT-I (500KV STEEL TOWERS ALONG WITH EXTENSIONS)

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder who submitted the Bid	Quoted Bid Price	Evaluated / Corrected / Discounted Bid Price	Reasons of Rejection, if any	Winning Bidder, price it offered, duration and summery/ scope of the Contract Award
1.	M/s. Qingdao Huijintong Power Equipment Co. Ltd, China	US\$ 12,962,209.12 (CIF Karachi)	US\$ 12,832,593.95 (CIF Karachi)	Responsive	US\$ 12,832,593.95 (CIF Karachi)
2.	M/s Shandong Qixing Iron Tower Co. Ltd China	US\$ 12,959,534 (CIF Karachi)	US\$ 12,959,534 (CIF Karachi)	i. The bidder has proposed a major deviation from clause 2.2.3.1 by offering only the powder coating ii. Not provided corrosion resistant paint specifications, paint manufacturer with detailed data/ information and guarantee of twenty years	
3.	M/s. Nanjing Daji Steel Tower Manufacturing Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 15,076,475.71 (CIF Karachi)	US\$ 15,076,479.71 (CIF Karachi)	The text of bid security does not include the standard clause which states that the bid security may be extended by the purchaser. The bidder was intimated vide post bid clarification regarding this but their bank refused to amend this clause.	
4.	M/s PECO Lahore	PKR 3,515,268,250 (Ex-Works)	PKR 2,945,794,794 (Ex-Works)	i. Specification of corrosion resistant paint not provided. Furthermore bid does not include information of paint manufacturer with detailed data and guarantee of twenty years as per clause 2.2.3.1 of Vol-II ii. Average annual Turnover for last three years less than the quoted bid price and violates clause 5(ii) of Section-II	
5.	M/s. Zhejiang Shengda Steel Tower Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 23,680,322 (CIF Karachi)	US\$ 23,678,580 (CIF Karachi)	The bidder failed to comply with the post-bid clarification and did not provide information regarding the paint manufacturer along with proven record and a guarantee of twenty year life for painting system as per clause 2.2.3.1 of Vol-II.	
6.	M/s. Changshu Fengfan Power Equipment Co. Ltd. China.	US\$ 21,052,351.65 (CIF Karachi)	US\$ 21,052,351.65 (CIF Karachi)	Responsive but higher in price	

2. LOT-II (AAAC GREELEY CONDUCTOR & ALUMOWELD SHIELD WIRE)

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder who submitted the Bid	Quoted Bid Price (CIF Karachi)	Evaluated / Corrected / Discounted Bid Price US\$ (CIF)	Reasons of Rejection, if any	Winning Bidder, price it offered, duration and summery / scope of the Contract Award
1.	M/s. Wuxi Huaneng Electric Cable Co. Ltd China	US\$ 8,412,000	US\$ 8,412,000	Responsive	US\$ 8,412,000 (CIF KARACHI)
2.	M/s. Hangzhou Cable Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 8,645,625	US\$ 8,645,625	Complete supply record validated by performance certificates from the purchaser/ end user in any one year within the last five years has not been provided	
3.	M/s. Zhongli Sci – Tech Group Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 8,868,250	US\$ 8,868,250	Responsive but higher in price	
4.	M/s. Henan Tong Da Cable Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 9,284,750	US\$ 9,284,750	Responsive but higher in price	
5.	M/s. Wuxi Jiangnan Cable Co. Ltd China	US\$ 9,299,237.50	US\$ 9,299,237.50	a) In the submitted supply record, all the orders are of ACSR which does not establish manufacturing experience of AAAC conductor. The performance certificate and supply record do not match. b) Notary certificate has not been submitted	
6.	M/s Jiangsu Tongguang Optical Fiber Cable Co. Ltd	US\$ 9,373,590	US\$ 9,373,590	Responsive but higher in price	
7.	M/s. Coreal Aluminyum Kablo San Turkey.	US\$ 9,425,375	US\$ 9,425,375	Responsive but higher in price	
8.	M/s. TBEA Deyang Cable Co. Ltd, China	US\$ 9,427,000	US\$ 9,427,000	Responsive but higher in price	

3. LOT-III (OPGW WITH ACCESSORIES)

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder who submitted the Bid	Quoted Bid Price CIF (Karachi)	Evaluated / Corrected / Discounted Bid Price CIF (Karachi)	Reasons of Rejection, if any	Winning Bidder, price it offered, duration and summery/ scope of the Contract Award
1.	M/s. Shandong Pacific Optic Fiber and Cables Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 174,980	US\$ 174,980	Responsive	US\$ 174,980 (CIF KARACHI)
2.	M/s. Fujikura Hengtong Aerial Cable System Ltd., China.	US\$ 172,389	US\$ 172,389	a) One buffer tube mentioned instead of three (03) as mentioned in TS-4.2.3.2 b) The length of OPGW reel is 3200m instead of 5000m	

4. LOT-IV (TRANSMISSION LINE HARDWARE)

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder who submitted the Bid	Quoted Bid Price CIF (Karachi)	Evaluated / Corrected / Discounted Bid Price CIF (Karachi) (PKR)	Reasons of Rejection, if any	Winning Bidder, price it offered, duration and summery/ scope of the Contract Award
1.	M/s. Guangzhou Xinyuan Hengye Power Transmission Device Co. China	US\$ 739,625.99	US\$ 739,625.99	Non Responsive on account of non performance and quality of a bidder on previous contracts with purchaser pursuant to clause IB 32.1 (Section II: BDS) of bidding document	
2.	M/s. ISELFA Morsetteria S.R.l Italy.	US\$ 780,303.90	US\$ 780,303.90	Responsive	US\$ 780,303.90 (CIF Karachi)
3.	M/s. SA-RA Turkey	US\$ 881,966.60	US\$ 881,966.60	All supply record submitted with the bid is of 3-Bundle hardware material against the requirement of quad bundle material. Also technical data for the material have certain discrepancies	
4.	M/s Yaragh Avaran Pooya Engg. Co. Iran	US\$ 1,032,118.20	US\$ 1,032,118.20	The requirement for "supply of minimum 100% quantity of similar goods in any one year during last five years with at least 25% quantity supplied to NTDC/ DISCOs in Pakistan or outside the country of origin" as per BDS is not met	

5. LOT-V (DISC INSULATORS)

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder who submitted the Bid	Quoted Bid Price CIF (Karachi)	Evaluated / Corrected / Discounted Bid Price US\$ CIF (Karachi)	Reasons of Rejection, if any	Winning Bidder, price offered, duration and summary/ scope of the Contract Award
1.	Dalian Insulator Group Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 4,600,800	US\$ 4,600,800	Responsive	US\$ 4,600,800 CIF (Karachi)
2.	M/s Shangdong Zibo Insulators Co. Ltd China	US\$ 4,832,000	US\$ 4,832,000	The requirement for “supply of minimum 100% quantity of similar goods in any one year during last five years with at least 25% quantity supplied to NTDC/ DISCOs in Pakistan or outside the country of origin” as per BDS is not met	
3.	M/s. Pingxiang High class Insulator Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 4,837,200	US\$ 4,837,200	The bidder has not submitted required Form QF-8 (Average Annual Turnover)	
4.	M/s. Suzhou Porcelain Insulator Works Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 5,049,840	US\$ 5,049,840	The requirement for “supply of minimum 100% quantity of similar goods in any one year during last five years with at least 25% quantity supplied to NTDC/ DISCOs in Pakistan or outside the country of origin” as per BDS is not met.	
5.	M/s. Shandong High Voltage Insulators Co. Ltd., China.	US\$ 5,060,100	US\$ 5,060,100	Responsive but higher in price	
6.	M/s. Jeway Fujian Electrical Co. Ltd China	US\$ 5,864,942	US\$ 5,864,942	a) The technical data of 80KN and 160KN submitted with the bid have number of major deviations from the values specified in the bid b) The bidder has not submitted copies of financial statements and has many bid forms incomplete	
7.	M/s. NGK Insulators Ltd. Japan	US\$ 6,280,200	US\$ 6,280,200	The bidder has proposed major deviation in the delivery schedule	

6. LOT-VI (SPACER & STOCK BRIDGE DAMPERS)

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder who submitted the Bid	Quoted Bid Price (CIF Karachi)	Evaluated / Corrected / Discounted Bid Price CIF (Karachi)	Reasons of Rejection, if any	Winning Bidder, price offered, duration and summary/ scope of the Contract Award
1.	M/s. Guangzhou Xinyuan Hengye Power Transmission Device Co. China	US\$ 397,900	US\$ 397,900	Non Responsive on account of non performance and quality of a bidder on previous contracts with purchaser pursuant to clause IB 32.1 (Section II: BDS) of bidding document	
2.	M/s. Damp S.R.I Italy.	EURO 614,980	EURO 614,980	Responsive	EURO 614,980 (CIF Karachi)
3.	M/s. SA-RA Turkey	US\$ 508,420	US\$ 508,420	All supply record submitted with the bid is of 3-Bundle hardware material against the requirement of quad bundle material. Type test reports of Quad-Bundle Spacer Dampers and Stock Bridge Dampers for Alumoweld shield have not been provided	
4.	M/s Yaragh Avaran Pooya Engg. Co. Iran	US\$ 529,450	US\$ 529,450	The requirement for "supply of minimum 100% quantity of similar goods in any one year during last five years with at least 25% quantity supplied to NTDC/ DISCOs in Pakistan or outside the country of origin" as per BDS is not met	