

RESULT OF EVALUATION REPORT OF TENDER NO. 3217 (R3)-2M-PHASE-II

Tender No.	3217 (R3)-2M-PHASE-II					
Tender Description	TENDER NO. 3217 (R3)-2M-PHASE-II: SUPPLY OF GALVINIZED STEEL TOWERS FOR 500KV DOUBLE CIRCUIT QUAD BUNDLE TRANSMISSION LINE FROM DOMELI TO 500KV GAKKHAR SUBSTATION.					
Tender Procedure	Single stage single envelope					
Tender Published	National Newspapers/PPRA's Website/NTDCL's Website/ADB's Website					
Technical and Financial Proposal opened on	31-05-2016					
PPRA Ref No.(TSE)	TS276340E					
Number of Participants	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>Lot No.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No. of Bidders Participated</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7</td> </tr> </table>		Lot No.	1	No. of Bidders Participated	7
Lot No.	1					
No. of Bidders Participated	7					

Lot-I

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder who submitted the Bid	Quoted Bid Price in US\$ CIF Karachi	Discounted/Corrected Bid Price (US\$) CIF Karachi	Bid Price (US\$)	Winning Bidder, price it offered, duration and summery/ scope of the Contract Award
1	M/s. Qingdao Huijintong Power Equipment Co. Ltd. China	10,838,044.07	10,838,043.93	Accepted, being lowest evaluated responsive bidder.	US\$ 10,838,043.93 CIF (Karachi)+ Related Services
1	M/s. SA-RA Energy Construction Trade & Industry Co. Inc., Turkey.	12,844,804	-	Non-Responsive 1. The bidder has not supplied a minimum of 25% of offered quantity outside the country of manufacturer required as	

				<p>per Clause 11.1 (c) (1).</p> <p>2. The bidders has not provided the copies of the contract agreements for equipment supplied outside the country of manufacturer, hence does not meet the specified manufacturing/supply experience criteria.</p> <p>3. The performance certificates submitted with the Bid are not as per prescribed format (Appendix-F) of the Bidding Documents and are neither original nor notarized as per Clause 1.1 (C) (2) of bidding document.</p>	
3	M/s. Qingdao Qiangli Steel Structure Co. Ltd. China	10,885,869.90	10,885,869.90	Responsive, higher in price.	
4	M/s. Zhejiang Shengda Steel Tower Co. Ltd., China	11,297,320.00	11,297,320.00	Responsive, higher in price	
5	M/s. Shandong Qixing Iron Tower Co. Ltd., China	12,360,100.46	12,051,097.95	Responsive, higher in price	
6	M/s. Chongqing Suntop Iron Tower Manufacturer Co. Ltd., China	13,781,301.03	13,781,296.15	Responsive, higher in price	

7.	M/s. Pakistan Engineering Co. Ltd. Lahore (PECO).	PKR= 2,464,110,400 28.15% Discount	-	<p>Non-Responsive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Bid Security submitted with the Bid by M/s. PECO does not meet the requirements of the Bidding Documents i.e. the Bid Security is provided from Insurance Company (i.e. Pakistan General Insurance Company Ltd.) which have A- rating as per PACRA and JCR-VIS. Since Bid Security submitted does not meet BD&A viz-a-viz at least AA rating from PACRA or JCR-VIS, the same is not acceptable. The bid of M/s. PECO is therefore liable to be rejected as nonresponsive. 2. Average Annual Turnover of the bidder also did not meet the requirements of BD&A. Through post-bid clarification, the bidder conveyed maximum value of order equal to PKR 50 million which is less than the quoted Bid Price. Hence, the bidder does not meet the alternative criteria of successful completion of a T/L project having contract price not less than the quoted Bid price. 	
----	---	--	---	---	--

				3. The bidder also did not meet alternative criteria of completion of at least one contract during last three (03) years pertaining to T/Line having Contract price not less than quoted bid price	
--	--	--	--	--	--